

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,350	09/23/2003		Yuji Shinkai	117259 3395	
25944	7590	12/15/2005	EXAMINER		INER
OLIFF & B		GE, PLC	TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3729	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/667,350	SHINKAI, YUJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	entember 2005						
	. ·						
<i>,</i>	<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· _ ·	Claim(s)is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
ending of the subject to resultation and/or of	noodon roquiromont.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
· _ ·	a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
	<ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	or the continued copies her recent	<b>u</b> .					
Attachment(s)							
1) DNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/667,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Upon further consideration by the examiner, the previous restriction requirement (Office Action, dated September 1, 2005) has been withdrawn in view of the following.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a product of an inkjet head, classified in class 347, subclass68.
  - II. Claims 18-40, drawn to a process of making an inkjet head, classified in class 29, subclass 890.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions of Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group I can be made by a materially different method, such as one that assembles the structure with no heating or pressing.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. <u>If applicant(s) elect the invention of Group II</u>, then a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Application/Control Number: 10/667,350 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 18-24, drawn to initially forming a protrusion on the connecting portion,

classified in class 29, subclass 842.

II-B. Claims 25-36, 39 and 40, drawn to disposing a metallic bond and a thermosetting

resin between a terminal and a land, classified in class 29, subclass 831.

Claims 37-38, drawn to initially disposing a thermosetting resin between a

terminal and a land, classified in class 29, subclass 841.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

6. Inventions of Groups II-A through II-C are related as subcombinations disclosed as

usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the invention of Group II-A has

separate utility, or a separately usable process of initially forming a protrusion, that is not

required in any of Groups II-B through II-C. Group II-B has a separately usable process of

disposing a metallic bond and a thermosetting resin between a terminal and a land, not required

in Groups II-A and II-C. Group II-C has a separately usable process of initially disposing a

thermosetting resin between a terminal and a land. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for example, in Group II-A is not required for Group II-B and II-C, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

8. If applicant(s) elect the invention of Groups II-A through II-C, this application contains

claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figures 13A-13C;

Species B: Figures 14A-14C; and

Application/Control Number: 10/667,350

Art Unit: 3729

Species C: Figures 15A-15C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no generic claims in Group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,350 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3729

December 7, 2005